Exhibit E

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----x 19-MD-2903 (GWC)

In Re: Fisher-Price Rock 'n Play Sleeper Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation

Rochester, New York December 9, 2019

10:09 a.m.

----X

STATUS CONFERENCE

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE GEOFFREY W. CRAWFORD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

FOR PLAINTIFF: WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP

BY: DEMET BASAR, ESQ. BY: DANIEL TEPPER, ESQ.

270 Madison Ave.

New York, New York 10016

FOR PLAINTIFF: CONNORS LLP

BY: KATE G. HOWARD, ESQ. 1000 Liberty Building Buffalo, New York 14202

FOR DEFENDANT: MANATT PHELPS & PHILLIPS LLP

BY: CRAIG JOSEPH de RECAT, ESQ. BY: ADRIANNE ELIZABETH MARSHACK 695 Town Center Drive, 14th Floor

Costa Mesa, California 92626

FOR DEFENDANT: GOLDBERG SEGALLA, LLP

BY: PATRICK B. NAYLON, ESQ. Two State Street, Suite 1200 Rochester, New York 14614

COURT REPORTER: Diane S. Martens, FCRR, RPR

Rochester, New York 14614

December 2nd submission to the Court. So, hopefully that 11:24AM 1 suffices. 2 3 THE COURT: What does reasonably accessible sources 4 mean? 11:24AM 5 MR. TEPPER: Yeah, your Honor. This ties into some of the matters that we spoke earlier in regards to matters 3 6 7 through 5. And what, what we intended when we drafted this 8 9 agenda was we believe that we need some answers about what 11:24AM 10 the defendant's document retention policies are; what their 11 reasonably accessible records are; how far back can they 12 reasonably, without undue cost or burden, search for 13 responsive documents. And we simply have not gotten answers 14 to that. 11:25AM 15 MS. MARSHACK: Your Honor, this, to us, seems like 16 putting the cart before the horse. We are not in a situation 17 where there's any allegation of wrongdoing, spoliation, 18 inadequate document production. We've just begun. And, so, 19 to kind of go into a scorched earth, you know, what, what are 11:25AM 20 our document retention policies, where are the documents, how 2.1 far back do they go, that will naturally happen as we're 22 producing documents. And, you know, they end at, let's say, 23 2013 because that's all that our electronic database for when our emails go out, that's as far back as it goes. I don't 24 11:25AM 25 see a need for this discussion now. It will -- it will

11:25AM	1	happen as it naturally happens. But to answer questions kind
	2	of in the abstract before there's a problem doesn't, doesn't
	3	seem to serve any purpose.
	4	THE COURT: Well, it was probably the first
11:26AM	5	question that you had when you got the case and went to meet
	6	with the IT people and kind of figure out the lay of the
	7	land. That would be sort of early in your preparation. So
	8	why don't you just tell them. I mean, it's whatever their
	9	process is. I'm sure it's a big company. They've got 50 IT
11:26AM	10	people that think about this all the time and they have a
	11	process.
	12	MS. MARSHACK: Well, unfortunately, it isn't quite
	13	that easy.
	14	THE COURT: Right.
11:26AM	15	MS. MARSHACK: There in our discussions, there's
	16	different accessibility issues for different types of
	17	information and so it's a kind of a never-ending inquiry that
	18	we have some answers based on what we've been looking for to
	19	date. I'm not certain that we have all the answers.
11:26AM	20	THE COURT: So tell him what you know and what you
	21	don't know and he'll be happy.
	22	MS. MARSHACK: Okay.
	23	THE COURT: Okay.
	24	MR. TEPPER: Thank you, your Honor.
11:27AM	25	THE COURT: Yep. A timeline. How long does it